1	Laura Vartain Horn (SBN 258485)  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	
2	555 California Street, Suite 2700	
3	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 439-1625	
3	laura.vartain@kirkland.com	
4	Allison M. Brown (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	
5	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	
6	2005 Market Street, Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19103	
7	Telephone: (215) 268-5000	
8	alli.brown@kirkland.com	
9	Jessica Davidson (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	
10	601 Lexington Avenue	
11	New York, NY 10022 Telephone: (212) 446-4800	
12	jessica.davidson@kirkland.com	
13	Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, LL	C
14	And RASIER-CA, LLC	С,
15	UNITED STA	ΓES DISTRICT COURT
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN EPANCISCO DIVISION	
17		
18		
19		
	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT	Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB
20	LITIGATION	ANSWER TO AMENDED BELLWETHER
21		COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY
22	This Document Relates to:	TRIAL
23	This Document Relates to.	Judge: Hon. Lisa J. Cisneros
	WHB 407 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,	Courtroom: $G - 15$ th Floor
24	No. 3:24-cv-05028	
25		
26		
27		
-		

1

3

4

6

7 8

9

1011

12

13

1415

16

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc.; Rasier, LLC; and Rasier-CA, LLC (together, "Uber" or "Defendants") make the following responses to Plaintiff's Amended Bellwether Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial ("Complaint"):

#### I. Designated Forum

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 1:**

Uber admits that Plaintiff has identified the Northern District of California as the Federal District Court in which the Plaintiff would have filed in the absence of direct filing.

## II. <u>Identification of Parties</u>

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 2:**

Uber admits that Plaintiff has identified herself using the initials WHB 407. Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the other allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint and therefore denies same.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 3:**

Uber admits that Plaintiff has represented that she resides in Midway, Liberty County, Georgia.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 4**:

Uber admits that Plaintiff has brought the above-captioned lawsuit against Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC but denies Plaintiff is entitled to relief from any Defendant.

## RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 5:

Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint as stated and therefore denies same.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 6:**

Uber admits that Plaintiff had access to the rider version of the Uber app at the time of the incident forming the basis of this lawsuit.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 7**:

Uber admits that an individual named John Osborne had access to the driver version of the Uber app on August 30, 2021.

#### **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 8:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint as stated and therefore denies same.

#### **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 9:**

Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint as stated and therefore denies same.

#### **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 10:**

Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint as stated and therefore denies same.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 11:**

Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint as stated and therefore denies same.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 12:**

Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the Complaint as stated and therefore denies same.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 13:**

Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint as stated and therefore denies same.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 14:**

Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint as stated and therefore denies same.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 15:**

Uber denies the allegations in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

#### III. Causes of Action Asserted

#### **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 16:**

2627

28

6

4

1112

1415

13

16

17 18

19 20

22

23

21

2425

26

27

28

Paragraph 16 of the Complaint is not directed to Uber and does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Uber denies that Plaintiff is entitled to relief under any of the claims identified in this paragraph.

#### IV. Additional Allegations in Support of Vicarious Liability Claims

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 17:**

Uber denies the allegations in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 18:**

Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint as stated and therefore denies same.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 19:**

Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint as stated and therefore denies same.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 20:**

Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 20 of the Complaint as stated and therefore denies same.

## V. Additional Allegations in Support of Products Liability Claims

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 21:**

Uber denies the allegations in Paragraph 21 of the Complaint.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 22:**

Uber denies the allegations in Paragraph 22 of the Complaint.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 23:**

Uber denies the allegations in Paragraph 23 of the Complaint.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 24:**

Uber denies the allegations in Paragraph 24 of the Complaint.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 25:**

Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 25 of the Complaint as stated and therefore denies same.

## || <u>F</u>

# 2

1

\_

## 4 5

6

# 7

8

# 10

11

## 12

13

## 14

15 16

# 17

18

# 19

20

## 21

2223

24

25

2627

28

#### **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 26:**

Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 26 of the Complaint as stated and therefore denies same.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 27:**

Uber denies the allegations in Paragraph 27 of the Complaint.

#### **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 28:**

Uber denies the allegations in Paragraph 28 of the Complaint.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 29:**

Uber denies the allegations in Paragraph 29 of the Complaint.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 30:**

Uber denies the allegations in Paragraph 30 of the Complaint.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 31:**

Uber denies the allegations in Paragraph 31 of the Complaint.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 32:**

Uber denies the allegations in Paragraph 32 of the Complaint.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 33:**

Uber denies the allegations in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint.

## **RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH NO. 34:**

Uber is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 34 of the Complaint as stated and therefore denies same.

## **AFFIRMATIVE & OTHER DEFENSES**

- 1. *Intervening/superseding criminal act*. The damages of which Plaintiff complains were proximately caused or contributed to by the criminal acts of other persons.
- 2. Failure to join indispensable parties. Plaintiff's claims are barred by her failure to join parties who are necessary and indispensable, including the drivers who allegedly committed the acts giving rise to her lawsuit.

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

service. 4. Independent contractor. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, under the independent contractor defense, as the driver alleged to have committed the acts giving rise to this lawsuit was

independently responsible for his own means, methods, and actions.

Plaintiff with regard to all known or reasonably knowable risks associated with the use of the Uber

3. Good faith. Uber acted in good faith, dealt fairly with Plaintiff, and gave adequate warnings to

- 5. Apportionment of fault/comparative fault. Any alleged damages awarded to Plaintiff must be apportioned according to the respective fault of the parties, persons and entities who contributed to Plaintiff's supposed losses or injuries.
- 6. Consent/assumption of risk. Plaintiff consented to the use of the Uber service knowing the nature and potential risks surrounding that service.
- 7. Offset/failure to mitigate damages. Plaintiff is not entitled to recover any damages due to her own failure to take reasonable efforts to mitigate the amount of those damages. And to the extent she has mitigated her damages, the costs incurred by Plaintiff were (or will be) borne, in whole or in part, from collateral sources, including through insurance.
- 8. Joint and several liability. Any liability for any non-economic damages awarded to Plaintiff must be limited by California Civil Code § 1431.2, which limits Uber's responsibility to its proportionate share of those damages.
- 9. Prior release/limitation of liability and damages (Terms of Use). Plaintiff's claims are barred by a prior release of all claims pursuant to Uber's Terms of Use, which disclaim any liability or damages resulting from the actions of third-party drivers.
- 10. Abstention. The Court should abstain from adjudicating Plaintiff's claims in deference to the limitations provided by California Public Utilities Code Section 1759.

#### **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

Defendants hereby demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

DATED: September 2, 2025 Respectfully submitted, 1 2 /s/ Laura Vartain Horn 3 Laura Vartain Horn 4 Laura Vartain Horn (SBN 258485) laura.vartain@kirkland.com 5 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 555 California Street, Suite 2700 6 San Francisco, CA 94104 7 Telephone: (415) 439-1625 8 Allison M. Brown (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 9 2005 Market Street, Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19103 10 Telephone: (215) 268-5000 11 alli.brown@kirkland.com 12 Jessica Davidson (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 13 601 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022 14 Telephone: (212) 446-4800 15 jessica.davidson@kirkland.com 16 Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., 17 RASIER, LLC, And RASIER-CA, LLC 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28